

## GOLUBEV SERIES FOR SOLUTIONS OF ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS

CH. DORSCHFELDT AND N. N. TARKHANOV

ABSTRACT. Let  $P$  be an elliptic system with real analytic coefficients on an open set  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $\Phi$  be a fundamental solution of  $P$ . Given a locally connected closed set  $\sigma \subset X$ , we fix some massive measure  $m$  on  $\sigma$ . Here, a non-negative measure  $m$  is called massive, if the conditions  $s \subset \sigma$  and  $m(s) = 0$  imply that  $\sigma \setminus s = \sigma$ . We prove that, if  $f$  is a solution of the equation  $Pf = 0$  in  $X \setminus \sigma$ , then for each relatively compact open subset  $U$  of  $X$  and every  $1 < p < \infty$  there exist a solution  $f_e$  of the equation in  $U$  and a sequence  $f_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ ) in  $L^p(\sigma \cap U, m)$  satisfying  $\|\alpha! f_\alpha\|_{L^p(\sigma \cap U, m)}^{1/|\alpha|} \rightarrow 0$  such that  $f(x) = f_e(x) + \sum_\alpha \int_{\sigma \cap U} D_y^\alpha \Phi(x, y) f_\alpha(y) dm(y)$  for  $x \in U \setminus \sigma$ . This complements an earlier result of the second author on representation of solutions outside a compact subset of  $X$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULTS

1.1. Let  $P$  be a  $(k \times k)$ -matrix of scalar partial differential operators with real analytic coefficients on an open set  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Suppose further that  $P$  has a fundamental solution  $\Phi$  which is real analytic outside the diagonal  $\Delta$  of  $X \times X$ . By definition,  $\Phi(x, y)$  is a  $(k \times k)$ -matrix of distributions on  $X \times X$  satisfying

$$\begin{cases} P(x, D_x) \Phi(x, y) &= \delta(x - y) I_k, \\ P'(y, D_y) \Phi(x, y) &= \delta(x - y) I_k \end{cases}$$

where  $P'$  is the transposed operator to  $P$ , and  $I_k$  is the identity  $(k \times k)$ -matrix.

Recall that, according to a theorem of Malgrange, every elliptic differential operator with real analytic coefficients on  $X$  has a fundamental solution with the desired properties.

1.2. If  $U$  is an open subset of  $X$ , then denote by  $S_P(U)$  the vector space of all weak solutions of the system  $Pf = 0$  on  $U$ . Note that because of the analytic hypoellipticity of  $P$ , the solutions in  $S_P(U)$  are actually real analytic functions in  $U$ . For a closed subset  $\sigma$  of  $X$ , solutions  $f \in S_P(X \setminus \sigma)$  will be said to have singularities on  $\sigma$ .

In this article, we are interested in representations of solutions of the equation  $Pf = 0$  in  $X$  having singularities on a closed subset  $\sigma$  of  $X$ . Before stating our principal result, we must first introduce one technical definition.

A (nonnegative) measure  $m$  on  $\sigma$  is said to be *massive*, if the two conditions  $s \subset \sigma$  and  $m(s) = 0$  imply that  $\sigma \setminus s = \sigma$ . In other words, every subset of  $\sigma$  of

---

Received by the editors February 15, 1995 and, in revised form, November 20, 1996.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 35A20, 35C10.

*Key words and phrases*. Solutions with singularities, real analytic coefficients, elliptic systems, Golubev series.

This research was supported in part by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

$m$ -measure zero has empty interior. As the following example shows, a massive measure exists on every closed set  $\sigma$ .

**Example 1.1.** Let  $\{y_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of points of  $K$ , which is dense as a set in  $\sigma$ . Choose a sequence of positive numbers  $\{\mu_j\}$  such that  $\sum \mu_j < \infty$ . For a set  $s \subset \sigma$ , we define  $m(s) = \sum_{y_\nu \in s} \mu_\nu$ . Then  $m$  is a massive measure on  $\sigma$ .

Let us fix some massive measure  $m$  on  $\sigma$ . Our main result is the following:

**Theorem 1.1.** Assume that  $K$  is a locally connected compact subset of  $\sigma$ , and  $1 < p < \infty$ . Then for each solution  $f \in S_P(X \setminus \sigma)$  there exist both a solution  $f_e \in S_P((X \setminus \sigma) \cup \overset{\circ}{K})$  and a sequence  $\{c_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \subseteq [L^p(K, m)]^k$  such that

$$(1) \quad f(x) = f_e(x) + \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \int_K D_y^\alpha \Phi(x, y) c_\alpha(y) dm(y)$$

holds for all  $x \in X \setminus \sigma$ . Furthermore,  $\|\alpha! c_\alpha\|_{L^p(K, m)}^{1/|\alpha|} \rightarrow 0$  when  $|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty$ .

We emphasize that  $\overset{\circ}{K}$  is the interior of  $K$  on  $\sigma$ , i.e., in the induced topology of  $\sigma$ .

1.3. For holomorphic functions of one variable (i.e. for the operator  $P = \partial/\partial\bar{z}$  in  $\mathbb{C}^1$ ), for compact  $\sigma$  and  $p = 2$ , Theorem 1.1 is due to Havin [7]. Havin called the corresponding representation of the form (1) *Golubev-series*, since it was V.V. Golubev who posed the question whether such a formula held for every function analytic in  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K$  when  $K$  is a rectifiable simple arc and  $m$  the Lebesgue measure on  $K$ . For further details on the history of the problem cf. Havin [8]. More generally, we call representations of the form (1) *Golubev-series expansions* for solutions with singularities.

Baernstein [1] proved an analogous representation formula for functions holomorphic off the real axis. Using complex analysis and Hilbert space methods, the second author [15] showed Theorem 1.1 for the case of compact  $\sigma$  and  $p = 2$  (see also [16]). Simonova [13] obtained an analogous representation theorem for functions harmonic off a hyperplane. Fischer and Tarkhanov [4] constructed a Golubev-series expansion for solutions of homogeneous elliptic systems with constant coefficients in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , having singularities on a plane of a smaller dimension. They also derived Theorem 1.1 for the case of smooth  $\sigma$  and asked whether a result as formulated in Theorem 1.1 held for arbitrary locally connected sets  $\sigma$ .

The local connectedness of the compact set  $K$  we look at is a very delicate point in the literature. In fact it is related to the problem of extension of analytic functions on a neighborhood of  $K$ . (See Havin [8], Varfolomeev [17] and Rogers/Zame [12].)

In this paper, we prove the result by generalizing the ideas used in [15] in an appropriate way. Since the article [15] is in Russian and does not seem to be easily available, we have decided to present the paper in a self-contained way and do not use [15] as a reference.

1.4. The converse statement to Theorem 1.1 is quite easy to prove.

**Lemma 1.1.** Let  $K$  be a relatively compact subset of  $\sigma$ , and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . For every sequence  $\{c_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \subset [L^p(K, m)]^k$ , satisfying  $\|\alpha! c_\alpha\|_{L^p(K, m)}^{1/|\alpha|} \rightarrow 0$  when  $|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty$ , the series  $\sum_\alpha \int_K D_y^\alpha \Phi(x, y) c_\alpha(y) dm(y)$  converges for  $x \in X \setminus K$  and defines an element in  $S_P(X \setminus K)$ .

*Proof.* First note that for  $x \in X \setminus K$  we have

$$P(x, D) \int_K D_y^\alpha \Phi(x, y) c_\alpha(y) dm = \int_K D_y^\alpha \{P(x, D) \Phi(x, y)\} c_\alpha(y) dm = 0.$$

Thus the proof will be complete if we show that the series we look at converges uniformly on compact subsets of  $X \setminus K$ . It is well-known that a  $C^\infty$  function  $g$  on an open set  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is real analytic if and only if for every compact set  $K \subset U$  there are constants  $a = a(g, K)$  and  $c = c(g, K)$  such that

$$\sup_{y \in K} |D^\alpha g(y)| \leq c \cdot a^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|! \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n.$$

Now fix a compact set  $\tilde{K} \subset X \setminus K$ . Since the fundamental solution  $\Phi$  is real analytic in a neighborhood of  $\tilde{K} \times K$ , there exist constants  $a$  and  $c$ , depending on  $\Phi$  and  $\tilde{K}$ , such that

$$(2) \quad \sup_{(x, y) \in \tilde{K} \times K} \|D_y^\alpha \Phi(x, y)\| \leq c \cdot a^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|! \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n.$$

Using (2), for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in \tilde{K}} \left| \int_K D_y^\alpha \Phi(x, y) c_\alpha(y) dm(y) \right| &\leq c \cdot a^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|! \int_K |c_\alpha(y)| dm(y) \\ &\leq c \cdot a^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|! \|c_\alpha\|_{L^p(K, m)} m(K)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned}$$

with  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \sup_{x \in \tilde{K}} \left| \int_K D_y^\alpha \Phi(x, y) c_\alpha(y) dm \right| &\leq c \cdot m(K)^{1/q} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} a^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|! \|c_\alpha\|_{L^p(K, m)} \\ &= c \cdot m(K)^{1/q} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a^j \sup_{|\alpha|=j} \|\alpha! c_\alpha\|_{L^p(K, m)} \left( \sum_{|\alpha|=j} \frac{|\alpha|!}{\alpha!} \right) \\ &= c \cdot m(K)^{1/q} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (a \cdot n \sup_{|\alpha|=j} \|\alpha! c_\alpha\|_{L^p(K, m)}^{1/|\alpha|})^j, \end{aligned}$$

where we used that  $\sum_{|\alpha|=j} \frac{|\alpha|!}{\alpha!} = n^j$ ,  $n = \dim \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Now, since  $\sup_{|\alpha|=j} \|\alpha! c_\alpha\|_{L^p(K, m)}^{1/|\alpha|} \rightarrow 0$  when  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , the last sum can be majorized by a geometric sum. Hence

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \sup_{x \in \tilde{K}} \left| \int_K D_y^\alpha \Phi(x, y) c_\alpha(y) dm \right| \leq c(K, \tilde{K}) < \infty.$$

□

1.5. Let us distinguish the principal difficulty in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let  $K$  be a locally connected compact subset of  $X$ ,  $m$  be a massive measure on  $K$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ . Then for every solution  $f \in S_P(X \setminus K)$  there are a solution  $f_e \in S_P(X)$  and a sequence  $\{c_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \subset [L^p(K, m)]^k$  such that*

$$f(x) = f_e(x) + \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \int_K D_y^\alpha \Phi(x, y) c_\alpha(y) dm(y)$$

*holds for all  $x \in X \setminus K$ . Furthermore,  $\|\alpha! c_\alpha\|_{L^p(K, m)}^{1/|\alpha|} \rightarrow 0$  when  $|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty$ .*

As Baernstein showed in [2], even for  $P = \partial/\partial\bar{z}$  Lemma 1.2 is false for arbitrary compact  $K$ .

We now turn to the

*Proof (of Theorem 1.1).* Let  $U \subset X$  be a relatively compact open set such that  $U \cap \sigma = \overset{\circ}{K}$  and the set  $K' = \partial U \cup \overset{\circ}{K}$  is locally connected. Fix some massive measure  $m'$  on  $K'$  whose restriction to  $K$  is  $m$ . The existence of such a measure follows from Example 1.1. Given a solution  $f \in S_P(X \setminus \sigma)$ , we consider the function  $f'$  which equals  $f$  in  $U \setminus \sigma$  and is 0 in  $X \setminus \bar{U}$ . Then  $f'$  is a solution of the system  $Pf' = 0$  with singularities on  $K'$ . Hence by Lemma 1.2 there exist a solution  $f'_e \in S_P(X)$  and a sequence  $\{c'_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \subset [L^p(K', m')]^k$ , satisfying  $\|\alpha!c'_\alpha\|_{L^p(K', m')}^{1/|\alpha|} \rightarrow 0$  when  $|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty$ , such that

$$f'(x) = f'_e(x) + \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \int_{K'} D_x^\alpha \Phi(x, y) c'_\alpha(y) dm'(y) \quad (x \in X \setminus K').$$

Set  $c_\alpha := c'_\alpha|_K$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ . Since  $\|\alpha!c_\alpha\|_{L^p(K, m)}^{1/|\alpha|} \rightarrow 0$  when  $|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty$ , the function  $f_e$  defined by

$$f_e(x) = f(x) - \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \int_K D_x^\alpha \Phi(x, y) c_\alpha(y) dm(y) \quad (x \in X \setminus \sigma)$$

belongs to  $S_P(X \setminus \sigma)$  because of Lemma 1.1. Moreover, this function satisfies the equation  $Pf_e = 0$  also in a neighborhood of each interior point of  $K$ , since we have

$$f_e(x) = f'_e(x) + \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \int_{K' \setminus K} D_x^\alpha \Phi(x, y) c'_\alpha(y) dm'(y) \quad \text{for } x \in U \setminus \sigma.$$

Thus  $f_e \in S_P((X \setminus \sigma) \cup \overset{\circ}{K})$ , as was to be proved.  $\square$

The proof of Lemma 1.2 needs some preparation which we give in the following section by studying more thoroughly the topology on  $S_{P'}(K)$ . For the sake of simplicity, we restrict the following considerations to the case  $k = 1$ .

## 2. EQUIVALENT TOPOLOGIES IN $S_{P'}(K)$

2.1. Let  $K$  be any compact set in  $X$ . In this section, we study various topologies on  $S_{P'}(K)$ , where  $P'$  is the transposed operator to  $P$ . Define the space  $S_{P'}(K)$  as follows. The function  $g$  belongs to  $S_{P'}(K)$  if there exists an open set  $U \supset K$  such that  $g$  is a solution of the equation  $P'g = 0$  in  $U$ . If two such functions agree on some neighborhood of  $K$ , we identify them as elements in  $S_{P'}(K)$ .

For each  $U$  as above, let  $S_{P'}(U)$  denote the space of solutions of the equation  $P'g = 0$  in  $U$  with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets, i.e., the topology induced from  $C(U)$ . There is a natural map from  $S_{P'}(U)$  into  $S_{P'}(K)$ , and we endow  $S_{P'}(K)$  with the finest locally convex topology for which all these maps are continuous. We denote this topology by  $\tau$ . Alternatively, the space  $(S_{P'}(K), \tau)$  can be described as the inductive limit of the spaces  $S_{P'}(U_\nu)$ , where  $\{U_\nu\}$  is any decreasing sequence of open sets containing  $K$  such that each neighborhood of  $K$  contains some  $U_\nu$ , and such that each component of each  $U_\nu$  meets  $U_{\nu+1}$ .

*Remark 2.1.* The space  $(S_{P'}(K), \tau)$  is separated, a subset of this space is bounded iff it is contained and bounded in some  $S_{P'}(U_\nu)$ , and each closed bounded subset is compact. Proofs could be given by the same methods as in Koethe [9], p.379.

2.2. We will embed  $S_{P'}(K)$  algebraically in a space  $L^{(q)}$  whose topological dual consists of sequences of functions from  $L^p(K, m)$ . Lemma 1.2 follows from the Hahn-Banach Theorem once we show that the topology of  $L^{(q)}$  restricted to  $S_{P'}(K)$  is finer than the topology  $\tau$ . To do this, we have first to study some Banach spaces.

**Definition 2.1.** Given positive numbers  $q$  and  $r$ , the space  $l^q(r)$  is defined to consist of all sequences  $\{\eta_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  with  $(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} |\eta_\alpha|^{q r^{|\alpha|}})^{1/q} < \infty$ .

If  $K$  is an arbitrary compact subset of  $X$  and  $m$  is an arbitrary measure on  $K$ , then we denote by  $l^q(r)^K$  the space of all functions  $\eta(\cdot) = \{\eta_\alpha(\cdot)\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n}$  on  $K$  with values in  $l^q(r)$  such that  $\eta_\alpha(\cdot) \in L^q(K, m)$  for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and

$$(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \|\eta_\alpha\|_{L^q(K, m)}^q r^{q|\alpha|})^{1/q} < \infty.$$

**Lemma 2.1.** For  $q \in [1, \infty]$ , the functional

$$(1) \quad \|\{\eta_\alpha\}\|_{l^q(r)^K} = (\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \|\eta_\alpha\|_{L^q(K, m)}^q r^{q|\alpha|})^{1/q}$$

defines a norm on  $l^p(r)^K$ .

*Proof.* The proof is an easy exercise from functional analysis.  $\square$

Equipped with the norm (1), the space  $l^q(r)^K$  is a Banach space, provided  $q \in [1, \infty]$ . Instead of proving this directly, we proceed by the following

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $r > 0, q \geq 1$  be arbitrary real numbers, and let  $p \in [1, \infty]$  be the conjugate exponent to  $q$ . We have an isometrical isomorphism

$$(l^q(r)^K)' \cong l^p(\frac{1}{r})^K.$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $q > 1$ . Fix some  $\theta = \{\theta_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \in l^p(\frac{1}{r})^K$ . Then  $\theta$  defines a linear functional on  $l^q(r)^K$  via  $\langle \theta, \eta \rangle = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \int_K \langle \theta_\alpha(y), \eta_\alpha(y) \rangle dm(y)$ , for  $\eta = \{\eta_\alpha\} \in l^q(r)^K$ . Since

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} |\langle \theta, \eta \rangle| &\leq \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} (\|\theta_\alpha\|_{L^p(K, m)} r^{-|\alpha|}) (\|\eta_\alpha\|_{L^q(K, m)} r^{|\alpha|}) \\ &\leq \|\theta\|_{l^p(\frac{1}{r})^K} \cdot \|\eta\|_{l^q(r)^K}, \end{aligned}$$

this functional is continuous. Conversely, let  $F \in (l^q(r)^K)'$ . Given a multi-index  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ , denote by  $e_\alpha$  the element in  $l^q(r)$  which is 1 in the  $\alpha$ -th entry and 0 in all other entries. On  $L^q(K, m)$ , we may define a functional by juxtaposition  $g \mapsto F(ge_\alpha)$  for  $g \in L^q(K, m)$ . Since  $F$  is continuous, this functional is continuous, too. By duality, there is a function  $\theta_\alpha \in L^p(K, m)$  such that  $F(ge_\alpha) = \int_K \langle \theta_\alpha(y), g(y) \rangle dm(y)$  for all  $g \in L^q(K, m)$ . Since for an element  $\eta = \{\eta_\alpha\}$  in  $l^q(r)^K$  we have  $\eta = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \eta_\alpha e_\alpha$  and the series converges in the norm of  $l^q(r)^K$ , it follows that

$$F(\eta) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} F(\eta_\alpha e_\alpha) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \int_K \langle \theta_\alpha(y), \eta_\alpha(y) \rangle dm(y).$$

Put  $\theta := \{\theta_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n}$ . To complete the proof, it remains to show that  $\theta$  is in  $l^p(\frac{1}{r})^K$ . To this end, we consider the sequence  $\{\eta_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n}$  of measurable functions on  $K$  given by

$$\eta_\alpha := \begin{cases} |\theta_\alpha|^{p-2} \bar{\theta}_\alpha r^{-p|\alpha|}, & \theta_\alpha \neq 0, \\ 0, & \theta_\alpha = 0. \end{cases}$$

Since  $|\eta_\alpha|^q = |\theta_\alpha|^{p r^{-pq|\alpha|}}$  each function  $\eta_\alpha(\cdot)$  is in  $L^q(K, m)$ . Hence it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \|\theta_\alpha\|_{L^p(K, m)}^p \left(\frac{1}{r}\right)^{p|\alpha|} &= |F(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \eta_\alpha e_\alpha)| \leq \|F\|_{(l^q(r)^K)'} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \eta_\alpha e_\alpha \|_{l^q(r)^K} \\ &= \|F\|_{(l^q(r)^K)'} \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} r^{-p|\alpha|} \|\theta_\alpha\|_{L^p(K, m)}^p\right)^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} r^{-p|\alpha|} \|\theta_\alpha\|_{L^p(K, m)}^p)^{1/p} \leq \|F\|_{(l^q(r)^K)'}$  for every positive integer  $N$ . Together with (2) it follows that

$$\|\theta\|_{l^p(\frac{1}{r})^K} = \|F\|_{(l^q(r)^K)'},$$

as was to be proved.

For  $q = 1$ , the proof follows the same lines with the obvious modifications.  $\square$

Since the dual space to a normed space is a Banach space, Lemma 2.2 implies the following

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let  $r > 0$  and  $q > 1$ . Then  $l^q(r)^K$  is a reflexive Banach space.*

2.3. Note that if  $r' > r'' > 0$ , we have a continuous embedding  $l^q(r')^K \hookrightarrow l^q(r'')^K$ . Now let  $\{r_\nu\}_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$  be some decreasing sequence of positive numbers tending to zero. The space  $L^{(q)}$  is defined to be the inductive limit of the spaces  $l^q(r_\nu)^K$ . The space  $L^{(q)}$  is separated. Each bounded set is contained and bounded in one of the  $l^q(r_\nu)^K$ . Moreover,  $L^{(q)}$  is a (DF)-space, because it is the separated inductive limit of a sequence of normed, hence (DF)-, spaces (see Théorème 9 of Grothendieck [6]).

Our aim is to show that  $S_{P'}(K)$  is topologically isomorphic to a subspace of  $L^{(q)}$ . Thus we proceed by constructing an embedding  $S_{P'}(K) \hookrightarrow L^{(q)}$ . More precisely, for each solution  $g \in S_{P'}(K)$  we define

$$(3) \quad j(g) := \left\{ \frac{D^\alpha g}{\alpha!} |_K \right\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n}.$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *For every  $g \in S_{P'}(K)$ , the sequence  $j(g)$  is in  $L^{(q)}$ , and the mapping  $j : S_{P'}(K) \rightarrow L^{(q)}$  is continuous and injective.*

*Proof.* Let  $g \in S_{P'}(K)$ . Then there is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $K$  in  $X$  such that  $g \in S_{P'}(U)$ . Now choose a function  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  which is equal to 1 in a neighborhood of  $K$ . Since  $\Phi$  is a left fundamental solution of  $P$ , we get  $g = \Phi' P'(\varphi g)$  in a neighborhood of  $K$ .

The function  $P'(\varphi g)$  is supported by the closure of the set of those points  $x \in U$  such that  $\text{grad } \varphi(x) \neq 0$ . Let us denote this closure by  $\sigma$ . Then  $\sigma$  is a compact subset of  $U \setminus K$ , so there is a function  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(U \setminus K)$  which equals 1 in a neighborhood of  $\sigma$ .

Since  $P'(\varphi g) = \psi P'(\varphi g)$ , we have  $g = \Phi'(\psi P'(\varphi g))$  in a neighborhood of  $K$ . Hence it follows for each multi-index  $\alpha$  that

$$D^\alpha g(y) = \int P(x, D)(\psi(x) D_y^\alpha \Phi(x, y)) \cdot (\varphi(x) g(x)) dx \quad (y \in K).$$

Using estimate (2) with  $\tilde{K} = \text{supp } \psi$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{y \in K} |D^\alpha g(y)| &\leq c' a^{|\alpha| + \text{order } P} (|\alpha| + \text{order } P)! \sup_{x \in \text{supp } \varphi} |g(x)| \\ &\leq c'' (a')^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|! \sup_{x \in \text{supp } \varphi} |g(x)|, \end{aligned}$$

where  $a'$  is any number larger than  $a$ , and the constant  $c''$  does not depend on  $g \in S_{P'}(U)$  and  $\alpha$ . It now follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (4) \quad \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \left\| \frac{D^\alpha g}{\alpha!} \right\|_{L^q(K, m)}^q r_\nu^{q|\alpha|} &\leq (c'')^q m(K) \left( \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} ((a')^{|\alpha|} r_\nu^{|\alpha|} \frac{|\alpha|!}{\alpha!})^q \right) \sup_{x \in \text{supp } \varphi} |g(x)| \\ &= (c'')^q m(K) \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (na' r_\nu)^{qj} \right) \sup_{x \in \text{supp } \varphi} |g(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

Choose  $\nu_0$  large enough, such that  $nar_{\nu_0} < 1$ . Then (4) shows that  $j(g) \in l^q(r_{\nu_0})^K$  as well as the continuity of the mapping  $j : S_{P'}(U) \rightarrow l^q(r_{\nu_0})^K$ .

Since a linear operator from  $S_{P'}(K)$  into a locally convex space is continuous if and only if its restriction to each  $S_{P'}(U)$  is continuous (for a proof cf. Bourbaki [3]), it follows that the mapping  $j : S_{P'}(K) \rightarrow L^{(q)}$  is continuous.

To show that  $j$  is injective let  $g \in S_{P'}(K)$  be such that  $j(g) = 0$ . This means that  $D^\alpha g|_K \equiv 0$  in  $K$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ , and hence, since  $g$  is real analytic, it follows  $g \equiv 0$  in a neighborhood of  $K$ .  $\square$

2.4. Now put

$$S_{P'}^{(q)} := j(S_{P'}(K)) \subseteq L^{(q)}.$$

We endow this space with the topology induced by  $L^{(q)}$ . We want to show

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $K$  be a locally connected compact subset of  $X$ , and  $q > 1$ . Then  $S_{P'}^{(q)}$  is a closed subspace of  $L^{(q)}$ .*

For the proof of Lemma 2.4 we shall use the following result:

**Lemma 2.5.** *Assume that  $\{L_\nu\}$  is a sequence of reflexive Banach spaces, such that  $L_\nu$  is continuously embedded in  $L_{\nu+1}$  for all  $\nu$ , and  $L$  is the inductive limit of the sequence. Then a vector subspace  $\Sigma$  of  $L$  is closed if and only if for all  $\nu$  the intersection  $\Sigma \cap L_\nu$  is closed in  $L_\nu$ .*

*Proof.* See Makarov [11].  $\square$

*Proof (of Lemma 2.4).* Using Lemma 2.5 it is sufficient to show that for each  $\nu$  the subspace  $S_{P'}^{(q)} \cap (l^q(r_\nu)^K)$  is closed in  $l^q(r_\nu)^K$ .

Assume that for a solution  $g \in S_{P'}(K)$  the image  $j(g)$  is in  $l^q(r_\nu)^K$ . Then for all points  $y \in K$ , except perhaps for a set of zero measure  $m$ , we have

$$\left( \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \left| \frac{D^\alpha g(y)}{\alpha!} \right|^{q r_\nu^{q|\alpha|}} \right)^{1/q} < \infty.$$

Since the measure  $m$  is supposed to be massive, this inequality holds for a set  $\sigma_g$  of points  $y \in K$  which is dense in  $K$ . So

$$\limsup_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{D^\alpha g(y)}{\alpha!} \right|^{1/|\alpha|} \leq \frac{1}{r_\nu} \quad \text{for all } y \in \sigma_g.$$

We shall construct a complex neighborhood  $U_\nu$  of  $K$  into which all the elements of  $j^{-1}(l^q(r_\nu)^K)$  have (single valued) holomorphic extensions. This is the only place where we use the local connectedness of  $K$ .

For each  $y \in K$  choose a neighborhood  $O_y$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $O_y \subset \Delta(y, r_\nu)$  and such that  $K \cap O_y$  is connected. This is possible, since  $K$  is assumed to be locally connected. Here  $\Delta(y, r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z_i - y_i| < r \ (i = 1, \dots, n)\}$  is the polydisk in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with center  $y$  and radius  $r$ . Choose  $r_y$  such that  $\Delta(y, 2r_y) \subset O_y$ . Define  $U_\nu = \bigcup_{y \in K} \Delta(y, r_y)$ . Then  $U_\nu$  is a neighborhood of  $K$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

Let  $g \in j^{-1}(l^q(r_\nu)^K)$  and  $z \in U_\nu$ . Define  $\tilde{g}(z) = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{D^\alpha g(y)}{\alpha!} (z - y)^\alpha$  where  $y$  is any point of  $\sigma_g$  such that  $z \in \Delta(y, r_y)$ . The series converges, since  $|z_i - y_i| < \frac{1}{2r_\nu}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . We have to show that  $\tilde{g}(z)$  does not depend on  $y$ .

Suppose that  $z \in \Delta(y', r_{y'}) \cap \Delta(y'', r_{y''})$ , where  $y', y'' \in \sigma_g$ . Let  $r_{y''} \leq r_{y'}$ . Then  $|y''_i - y'_i| < r_{y'} + r_{y''} \leq 2r_{y'}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ; hence  $y'' \in \Delta(y', 2r_{y'}) \subset O_{y'}$ . We conclude that both  $y'$  and  $y''$  belong to the connected set  $K \cap O_{y'}$ . Let  $U$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  containing  $K$ , into which  $g$  has a (single valued) holomorphic extension. Then  $K \cap O_{y'} \subset U \cap \Delta(y', r_\nu)$ , and we denote by  $O$  the component of the set on the right which contains  $y'$ . Obviously,  $y''$  is in  $O$ , too. The equation  $g(z) = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{D^\alpha g(y')}{\alpha!} (z - y')^\alpha$  is valid for all  $z \in O$ . Hence the series

$$\tilde{g}(z) = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{D^\alpha g(y'')}{\alpha!} (z - y'')^\alpha \quad \text{about } y''$$

is a rearrangement of the series

$$g(z) = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{D^\alpha g(y')}{\alpha!} (z - y')^\alpha \quad \text{about } y',$$

and uniqueness of  $\tilde{g}(z)$  follows.

It is obvious that  $\tilde{g}$  is holomorphic in  $U_\nu$ . Moreover, it is easily verified that  $\tilde{g}$  and  $g$  agree on  $U_\nu \cap U$ . We may assume that the coefficients of the differential operator  $P$  have holomorphic extensions to  $U_\nu$ . Then  $P'\tilde{g} \equiv 0$  in  $U_\nu$ , since the function  $P'\tilde{g}$  is holomorphic in  $U_\nu$  and vanishes on an open subset of each component of  $U_\nu$ .

Thus every solution  $g \in j^{-1}(l^q(r_\nu)^K)$  has a (single valued) extension to the complex neighborhood  $U_\nu$  of  $K$ . Now, let  $\{\eta^{(j)}\}$  be a sequence in  $S_{P'}^{(q)} \cap l^q(r_\nu)^K$  which converges to an element  $\eta = \{\eta_\alpha\}$  in  $l^q(r_\nu)^K$ . We would like to prove that  $\eta$  is in  $S_{P'}^{(q)} \cap l^q(r_\nu)^K$ , too. By definition of  $S_{P'}^{(q)}$ , for every  $j = 1, 2, \dots$  there is a  $g_j \in S_{P'}(K)$  such that  $\eta_\alpha^{(j)} = \frac{D^\alpha g_j}{\alpha!} |_K \ (\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n)$ . Moreover, as was already proved, each element  $g_j$  is represented by a holomorphic function  $g_j(z)$  in the complex neighborhood  $U_\nu$  of  $K$  satisfying  $P'g_j = 0$  there.

The convergence  $\eta^{(j)} \rightarrow \eta$  in  $l^p(r_\nu)^K$  means that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_K \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} r_\nu^{q|\alpha|} \left| \frac{D^\alpha g_j(y)}{\alpha!} - \eta_\alpha(y) \right|^q dm(y) \right)^{1/q} = 0.$$

Hence it follows that there exists a subsequence  $\{g_{j_s}\}$  such that for all points  $y \in K$ , except for a set of zero measure  $m$ , we have

$$(5) \quad \lim_{j_s \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} r_\nu^{q|\alpha|} \left| \frac{D^\alpha g_{j_s}(y)}{\alpha!} - \eta_\alpha(y) \right|^q \right)^{1/q} = 0.$$

Since the measure  $m$  is massive, equality holds for a set  $\sigma$  of points  $y \in K$  which is dense in  $K$ . We now use compactness of  $K$  to conclude the following. There are a finite number of points  $y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(n)}$  in  $\sigma$  and a positive  $r < r_\nu$  such that  $K$  is contained in the union  $U = \Delta(y^{(1)}, r) \cup \dots \cup \Delta(y^{(n)}, r)$ , and  $\overline{U} \subset U_\nu$ . Our purpose is to show that the sequence  $\{g_{j_s}\}$  converges to some function  $g$  in  $S_{P'}(U)$ . Since the space  $S_{P'}(U)$  is complete, it suffices to prove that this sequence is a Cauchy sequence in  $S_{P'}(U)$ , i.e., in each of the spaces  $C(k)$ , where  $k$  is a compact subset of  $U$ . Obviously, we may restrict ourselves to compact sets  $k$  lying in one of the polydisks  $\Delta(y^{(1)}, r), \dots, \Delta(y^{(n)}, r)$ .

Let  $k$  be a compact subset of  $\Delta(y, r)$  where  $\Delta(y, r)$  is one of the polydisks previously mentioned. Denote by  $d$  the distance from  $k$  to the  $n$ -skeleton of  $\Delta(y, r)$ , i.e.,  $\partial_n \Delta(y, r) = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n : |\zeta_i - y_i| = r \ (i = 1, \dots, n)\}$ . The distance is taken in the polydisk-norm.

We may regard some branch of  $(g_{j_s}(z) - g_{j_t}(z))^q$  in  $\Delta(y, r)$  to yield a holomorphic function there. By Cauchy's Theorem we have for all  $z \in \Delta(y, r)$  :

(6)

$$(g_{j_s}(z) - g_{j_t}(z))^q = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^n} \int_{\partial_n \Delta(y, r)} \frac{(g_{j_s}(\zeta) - g_{j_t}(\zeta))^q}{(\zeta_1 - z_1) \cdots (\zeta_n - z_n)} d\zeta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\zeta_n.$$

The Taylor-series expansion for  $(g_{j_s}(\zeta) - g_{j_t}(\zeta))$ , centered at  $y$ , converges uniformly in the closure of  $\Delta(y, r)$ . So (6) implies for  $z \in k$  :

$$\begin{aligned} |g_{j_s}(z) - g_{j_t}(z)| &\leq \left( \frac{1}{(2\pi d)^n \int_{\partial_n \Delta(y, r)} |g_{j_s}(\zeta) - g_{j_t}(\zeta)|^q |d\zeta_1| \wedge \dots \wedge |d\zeta_n|} \right)^{1/q} \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{(2\pi d)^n} \int_{\partial_n \Delta(y, r)} \left| \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \frac{D^\alpha (g_{j_s}(y) - g_{j_t}(y))}{\alpha!} (\zeta - y)^\alpha \right|^q |d\zeta_1| \wedge \dots \wedge |d\zeta_n| \right)^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Hölder's inequality and taking into account that  $r < r_\nu$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{z \in k} |g_{j_s}(z) - g_{j_t}(z)| &\leq \left( \frac{1}{(2\pi d)^n} \int_{\partial_n \Delta(y, r)} \left( \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \frac{|\zeta - y|^\alpha}{|r_\nu|^\alpha} \right)^{(q/p)} |d\zeta_1| \wedge \dots \wedge |d\zeta_n| \right)^{1/q} \\ &\cdot \left( \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \left| \frac{D^\alpha (g_{j_s}(y) - g_{j_t}(y))}{\alpha!} r_\nu^{|\alpha|} \right|^q \right)^{1/q} \\ &= \left( \frac{r}{d} \right)^{n/q} \left( \sum_{\alpha} \left( \frac{r}{r_\nu} \right)^{p|\alpha|} \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{\alpha} \left| \frac{D^\alpha g_{j_s}(y) - D^\alpha g_{j_t}(y)}{\alpha!} \right|_{q, r_\nu^{q|\alpha|}} \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq \left( \frac{r}{d} \right)^{n/q} \left( \frac{r_\nu^p}{r_\nu^p - r^p} \right)^{n/p} \left\{ \left( \sum_{\alpha} r_\nu^{|\alpha|q} \left| \frac{D^\alpha g_{j_s}(y)}{\alpha!} - \eta_\alpha(y) \right|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left( \sum_{\alpha} r_\nu^{|\alpha|q} \left| \frac{D^\alpha g_{j_t}(y)}{\alpha!} - \eta_\alpha(y) \right|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By (5) it follows that  $\sup_{z \in k} |g_{j_s}(z) - g_{j_t}(z)| \rightarrow 0$  when both  $j_s$  and  $j_t$  tend to infinity. This is just what we wanted to prove. Thus, there is a solution  $g \in S_{P'}(U)$  such that  $g_{j_s} \rightarrow g$  in  $S_{P'}(U)$ . Because of Lemma 2.3, we obtain  $\eta = j(g)$ . Hence  $\eta \in S_{P'}^{(q)}$ , as was to be proved.  $\square$

The main result of this section consists of the following.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Assume that  $K$  is a locally connected compact subset of  $X$ , and  $q > 1$ . Then the mapping  $j^{-1} : S_{P'}^{(q)} \rightarrow S_{P'}(K)$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* The assertion follows from Lemma 2.4 and a version of the Open Mapping Theorem, but we prefer the direct proof. As was already mentioned, the mapping  $j^{-1} : S_{P'}^{(q)} \rightarrow S_{P'}(K)$  is continuous, iff each restriction  $j^{-1} : S_{P'}^{(q)} \cap l^q(r_\nu)^K$  is continuous (see Bourbaki [3]). Let  $\{g_j\}$  be a sequence of  $S_{P'}(K)$  such that the sequence  $\{\frac{D^\alpha g_j}{\alpha!}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n}$  converges to zero in  $l^q(r_\nu)^K$ . By the same way as we proceeded in the proof of Lemma 2.4, we find a complex neighborhood  $U_\nu$  of  $K$  such that every element  $g_j$  is represented by a holomorphic function  $g_j(z)$  in  $U_\nu$  satisfying  $P'g_j = 0$  there.

Choose a positive  $r < r_\nu$  such that the set  $U = \bigcup_{y \in K} \Delta(y, r)$  is contained in  $U_\nu$  together with its closure. Then we claim that  $\{g_j\}$  tends to zero uniformly on compact subsets of  $U$ . In fact, otherwise there would exist a compact set  $k \subset U$ , an  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a subsequence  $\{g_{j_s}\}$  such that  $\sup_{z \in k} |g_{j_s}(z)| \geq \varepsilon$  for all  $j_s$ . But then it follows just in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 2.4 that some subsequence of  $\{g_{j_s}\}$  should tend to zero uniformly on compact subsets of  $U$ . This contradiction implies our statement. Hence  $g_j \rightarrow 0$  in  $S_{P'}(K)$ , as was to be proved.  $\square$

Combining Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.3, we obtain the

**Corollary 2.2.** *Under the conditions of Theorem 2.1, the mapping  $j : S_{P'}(K) \rightarrow S_{P'}^{(q)}$  is a topological isomorphism of the space  $(S_{P'}(K), \tau)$  onto the space  $S_{P'}^{(q)}$  equipped with the topology induced by  $L^{(q)}$ .*

### 3. PROOF OF THE MAIN LEMMA AND REMARKS

3.1. In order to prove Lemma 1.2, we shall use the fact that each solution  $f \in S_P(X \setminus K)$  may be written as the sum of a solution in  $S_P(X)$  and a solution in  $S_P(X \setminus K)$  which is *regular at infinity*. The latter notion can be introduced as follows:

Denote by  $\hat{X}$  the one point compactification of  $X$ , i.e., the union of  $X$  and the symbolic point  $\infty$ . The topology in  $\hat{X}$  is defined by the following system of neighborhoods: If  $x \in X$ , then we take the usual neighborhood basis, and if  $x = \infty$ , then we take the family of complements of all compact subsets in  $X$ . Let  $U$  be a neighbourhood of  $\infty$ . A function  $f \in S_P(U)$  which has the representation (in a neighborhood of  $\infty$ , possibly smaller than  $U$ )  $f = \Phi(F)$ , for some distribution  $F$  with compact support, in  $K$ , is called *regular at infinity*. Here  $\Phi(F)$  is the value of the pseudo-differential operator  $\Phi$  on  $F$ . For smooth functions  $F$  with compact support  $\Phi(F)$  is defined by  $\Phi(F) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(\cdot, y) F(y) dy$ . For distributions  $F$  with compact support,  $\Phi(F)$  is defined by duality.

Of course, this notion depends on our particular choice of the fundamental solution  $\Phi$ , while the space of solutions regular at infinity does not depend on  $\Phi$  on the whole.

Let us denote by  $S_P^{(r)}(X \setminus K)$  the subspace of  $S_P(X \setminus K)$  consisting of the solutions regular at infinity.

**Lemma 3.1.** *For each compact set  $K \subset X$ , it follows that*

$$S_P(X \setminus K) = S_P(X) \oplus S_P^{(r)}(X \setminus K).$$

*The sum on the right is topological.*

*Proof.* Let  $G_P$  be a Green operator for  $P$ , i.e., a bidifferential operator of order  $\text{ord}(P) - 1$  on  $X$  with the property that  $dG_P(g, f) = (\langle g, Pf \rangle_x - \langle P'g, f \rangle_x) dx$  for all  $g$  and  $f$ , which are smooth enough in  $X$ . Here  $dx = dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$ . Given a solution  $f \in S_P(X \setminus K)$ , we define the functions  $f_e$  and  $f_r$  in the following way. Let  $x \in X$ . Choose an open set  $U \subset\subset X$  with piecewise smooth boundary such that  $K \subset U$  and  $x \in U$ . Set  $f_e(x) = -\int_{\partial U} G_P(\Phi(x, \cdot), f)$ . It follows from the Green formula that  $f_e(x)$  does not depend on the particular choice of  $U$ . Obviously,  $f_e \in S_P(X)$ . Now let  $x \in X \setminus K$ . Let  $U \subset\subset X$  be an open set with piecewise smooth boundary such that  $K \subset U$  and  $x \notin \bar{U}$ . Set  $f_r(x) = \int_{\partial U} G_P(\Phi(x, \cdot), f)$ . Again,  $f_r$  does not depend on the choice of  $U$ . It is clear that  $f_r \in S_P^{(r)}(X \setminus K)$ . By the Green formula we get  $f = f_e + f_r$ . The rest of the proof is obvious.  $\square$

Thus, every solution  $f \in S_P(X \setminus K)$  may be written in the form  $f = f_e + f_r$ , with  $f_e \in S_P(X)$  and  $f_r \in S_P^{(r)}(X \setminus K)$ , and this representation is unique.

3.2. Given a solution  $f \in S_P(X \setminus K)$ , we define a linear functional  $F_f$  on  $S_{P'}(K)$  as follows. Let  $g \in S_{P'}(K)$ . This means that there is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $K$  such that  $g \in S_{P'}(U)$ . Choose a new neighborhood  $U_g$  of  $K$  such that  $U_g \subset\subset U$  and the boundary of  $U_g$  is piecewise smooth. Put

$$(1) \quad \langle F_f, g \rangle = \int_{\partial U_g} G_P(g, f) \quad (g \in S_{P'}(K)).$$

It follows from the Green formula, that the value  $\langle F_f, g \rangle$  does not depend on the particular choice of  $U_g$ . Moreover,  $F_f$  is a continuous linear functional on  $S_{P'}(K)$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *If  $f \in S_P(X \setminus K)$ , then*

$$(2) \quad \langle F_f, \Phi(x, \cdot) \rangle = f_r(x) \quad \text{for } x \in X \setminus K.$$

*Proof.* In fact, if  $x \in X \setminus K$ , then  $\Phi(x, \cdot)$  satisfies  $P'\Phi(x, \cdot) = 0$  in the neighborhood  $X \setminus \{x\}$  of  $K$ . So the left-hand side of (2) is well-defined. To finish the proof, it only remains to look at the proof of Lemma 3.1.  $\square$

3.3. We proceed now by applying Theorem 2.1. Therefore, we are interested in a representation of functionals  $F \in (L^{(q)})'$ , where  $1 < q < \infty$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $1 < q < \infty$  and  $p$  be the conjugate exponent to  $q$ . To each continuous linear functional  $F$  on  $(L^{(q)})$  there is a sequence  $f = \{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n}$  in  $L^p(K, m)$  such that  $\|f_\alpha\|_{L^p(K, m)}^{1/|\alpha|} \rightarrow 0$  when  $|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty$ , such that*

$$\langle F, \eta \rangle = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \int_K \langle f_\alpha(y), \eta_\alpha(y) \rangle dm(y) \quad \text{for all } \eta = \{\eta_\alpha\} \in L^{(q)}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\eta \in l^q(r_\nu)^K$ . Then  $\eta = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \eta_\alpha e_\alpha$ , and the series converges with respect to the norm of  $l^q(r_\nu)^K$ . Since  $F$  is a continuous functional on  $L^{(q)}$ , its restriction to each of the  $l^q(r_\nu)^K$  is continuous, too. Therefore, we have  $\langle F, \eta \rangle = \sum_\alpha \langle F, \eta_\alpha e_\alpha \rangle$  for all  $\eta = \{\eta_\alpha\} \in L^{(q)}$ . For a fixed multi-index  $\alpha$ , we consider the linear functional on  $L^q(K, m)$  defined by  $g \mapsto \langle F, g e_\alpha \rangle$  ( $g \in L^q(K, m)$ ). This functional is obviously continuous, so by duality there is a function  $f_\alpha \in L^p(K, m)$  such that  $\langle F, g e_\alpha \rangle = \int_K \langle f_\alpha(y), g(y) \rangle dm(y)$  for all  $g \in L^q(K, m)$ . Hence  $\langle F, \eta \rangle = \sum_\alpha \int_K \langle f_\alpha(y), \eta_\alpha(y) \rangle dm(y)$  for all  $\eta \in L^{(q)}$ . The expression on the right hand side of this equality is a continuous linear functional on  $L^{(q)}$ , and thus on each of the

spaces  $l^q(r_\nu)^K$ . Hence it follows by Lemma 2.2 that  $\{f_\alpha\} \in l^p(\frac{1}{r_\nu})^K$  for every  $\nu$ . Then  $\sum_\alpha (\|f_\alpha\|_{L^p(K,m)}^{\frac{1}{|\alpha|}} \frac{1}{r_\nu})^{p|\alpha|} < \infty$ , showing that  $\limsup_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} \|f_\alpha\|_{L^p(K,m)}^{\frac{1}{|\alpha|}} \leq r_\nu$  for all  $\nu$ . Since  $r_\nu \rightarrow \infty$ , the assertion follows.  $\square$

3.4. We now turn to the

*Proof (of Lemma 1.2).* Assume that  $f \in S_P(X \setminus K)$ . We consider the continuous linear functional  $F_f$  on  $S_{P'}(K)$  given by formula (1). The composition  $F = F_f \circ j^{-1}$  defines a linear functional on the space  $S_{P'}^{(q)}$ , as follows from Lemma 2.3. Because of Theorem 2.1, the functional  $F$  is continuous. By the Hahn-Banach Theorem,  $F$  can be continuously extended to the whole space  $L^{(q)}$ . According to Lemma 3.3, there exists a sequence  $\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n}$  in  $L^p(K, m)$ , satisfying  $\|f_\alpha\|_{L^p(K,m)}^{\frac{1}{|\alpha|}} \rightarrow 0$  when  $|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty$ , such that

$$\langle F, j(g) \rangle = \sum_\alpha \int_K \langle f_\alpha(y), \frac{D^\alpha g(y)}{\alpha!} \rangle dm(y) \text{ for all } g \in S_{P'}(K).$$

Now putting  $g = \Phi(x, \cdot)$ , where  $x$  is a fixed point of  $X \setminus K$ , and using Lemma 3.2 we derive the assertion of Lemma 1.2 with  $c_\alpha = f_\alpha / \alpha!$  ( $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ ), since

$$\langle F, j(\Phi(x, \cdot)) \rangle = \langle F_f, \Phi(x, \cdot) \rangle = f_r(x) = f(x) - f_e(x).$$

$\square$

3.5. When  $K$  is a single point, the representation asserted by Lemma 1.2 is just the Laurent expansion of  $f$ .

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $y_0$  be a fixed point of  $X$ . Then for every solution  $f \in S_P(X \setminus \{y_0\})$  there exist a solution  $f_e \in S_P(X)$  and a sequence  $\{c_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \subset \mathbb{C}^k$ , satisfying  $|\alpha! c_\alpha|^{1/|\alpha|} \rightarrow 0$  when  $|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty$ , such that*

$$(3) \quad f(x) = f_e(x) + \sum_\alpha D_y^\alpha \Phi(x, y_0) c_\alpha \quad (x \in X \setminus \{y_0\}).$$

*Proof.* The assertion follows by using  $m(y_0) = 1$  as a massive measure on  $K = \{y_0\}$ .  $\square$

The coefficients  $\{c_\alpha\}$  will not be uniquely determined by  $f$ , since

$$P'(y_0, D_y) \Phi(x, y_0) = \delta(x - y_0) I_k$$

becomes zero off  $y_0$ .

The Laurent-series expansions for solutions of general elliptic equations were first studied by Lopatinskii [10].

3.6. If  $O \subset\subset X$  is an open set whose boundary is locally connected, then each solution  $f$  of  $Pf = 0$  in  $O$  has a representation (1) for  $x \in O$  with  $K = \partial O$ . The only thing we have to do is to construct a massive measure  $m$  on  $\partial O$ , and to extend  $f$  to a function satisfying the equation in the complement of  $\partial O$ . The assertion follows by Lemma 1.2.

3.7. Theorem 1.1 implies that arbitrary singularities of solutions of elliptic equations may be locally separated into atomic (i.e. one-point) singularities.

**Corollary 3.2.** *Assume that  $K$  is a locally connected compact subset of  $\sigma$ , and  $\{y_\nu\}$  is a dense sequence of points of  $K$ . Then every solution  $f \in S_P(X \setminus \sigma)$  can be written in the form  $f = f_e + \sum_\nu f_\nu$ , where  $f_e \in S_P((X \setminus \sigma) \cup \overset{\circ}{K})$  and  $f_\nu \in S_P(X \setminus \{y_\nu\})$ , and the series converges in the topology of  $S_P(X \setminus K)$ .*

*Proof.* We use the massive measure  $m$  on  $K$  constructed in Example 1.1. By Theorem 1.1

$$f(x) = f_e(x) + \sum_\alpha \left( \sum_\nu D_y^\alpha \Phi(x, y_\nu) c_\alpha(y_\nu) \mu_\nu \right) \text{ for } x \in X \setminus \sigma,$$

where  $f_e \in S_P((X \setminus \sigma) \cup \overset{\circ}{K})$  and  $\lim_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} (\sum_\nu |\alpha! c_\alpha(y_\nu)|^p \mu_\nu)^{1/(p|\alpha|)} = 0$ . The last condition allows to rearrange the summations and to derive  $f = f_e + \sum_\nu f_\nu$  with

$$f_\nu = \sum_\alpha D_y^\alpha \Phi(x, y_\nu) c_\alpha(y_\nu) \mu_\nu,$$

as was to be proved.  $\square$

3.8. For the Laplace operator we obtain the following result (which seems to be new).

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $K \subset \sigma$  be a locally connected compact set, and  $1 < p < \infty$ . Then every harmonic function  $f$  in  $X \setminus \sigma$  has the form*

$$f(x) = f_e(x) + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_K \frac{h_j(y, x-y)}{|x-y|^{n+2(j-1)}} dm(y) \quad (x \in X \setminus \sigma)$$

where  $f_e$  is a harmonic function in  $(X \setminus \sigma) \cup \overset{\circ}{K}$ , and  $h_j(y, z)$  are homogeneous harmonic polynomials of degree  $j$  in  $z$  with coefficients in  $L^p(K, m)$  with respect to  $y$ , such that  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (\frac{1}{j!} \int_K |h_j(y, Dz) h_j(y, z)|^{p/2} dm(y))^{1/p_j} = 0$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to transform formula (1) by means of the Hecke identity (cf. Stein [14]).  $\square$

3.9. We finish this section by mentioning one more aspect of Theorem 1.1. It is a natural question to ask whether a given solution  $f \in S_P(X \setminus \{y_0\})$  admits a representation (3) with a finite number of summands. This is obviously the case iff  $f$  has a finite order of growth near  $y_0$ , i.e.,  $|f(x)| \leq c|x - y_0|^{-\gamma}$  in some deleted neighborhood of  $y_0$ . In other words,  $y_0$  has to be a pole of  $f$ . Therefore, the solutions  $f \in S_P(X \setminus K)$  for which the expansions (1) have only a finite number of terms are analogues of solutions with poles in general. Such solutions can be characterized as follows.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $K$  be an arbitrary compact set in  $X$ ,  $m$  be a massive measure on  $K$ , and  $1 < p < \infty$ . A solution  $f \in S_P(X \setminus K)$  has a representation (1) with a finite number of terms iff the functional  $F_f$  given by (1) is continuous on  $S_{P'}(K)$  with respect to the topology defined by the family of seminorms  $\|D^\alpha g\|_{L^q(K, m)}$  ( $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ ).*

*Proof.* See Tarkhanov [15].  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. Baernstein, A.: Representations of holomorphic functions by boundary integrals. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **160** (1971), 27-37. MR **44**:415
2. Baernstein, A.: A representation theorem for functions holomorphic off the real axis. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **165** (1972), 159-165. MR **45**:2190
3. Bourbaki, N.: *Topological vector spaces*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1987. MR **88g**:46002
4. Fischer, B.; Tarkhanov, N.N.: A representation of solutions with singularities. *Contemp. Math.*, vol. 212, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998. CMP 98:05
5. Gramsch, B.: Über das Cauchy-Weil Integral für Gebiete mit beliebigem Rand. *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **28** (1977), 409-421. MR **58**:17206
6. Grothendieck, A.: Sur les espaces (F) and (DF). *Summa Brasil. Math.* **3** (1954), 57-123. MR **17**:765b
7. Havin, V.P.: An analogue of the Laurent series, in: *Investigations in modern problems of the theory of functions of a complex variable*. Fizmatgiz, Moscow 1961, 121-131 (Russian).
8. Havin, V.P.: Golubev series and the analyticity on a continuum, in: *Linear and complex analysis problem book*. Springer Lecture Notes 1043. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York 1984. MR **85k**:46007
9. Köthe, G.: *Topologische lineare Räume I*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1960. MR **24**:A411
10. Lopatinskii, Ya. B.: Behaviour of solutions of a linear elliptic system in a neighborhood of an isolated singular point. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **79** (1951) 5, 727-730 (Russian).
11. Makarov, B.M.: Inductive limits of normed spaces. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **119** (1958) 6, 1092-1094 (Russian). MR **20**:5412
12. Rogers, J.T.; Zame, W.R.: Extension of analytic functions and the topology in spaces of analytic functions. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **31** (1982) 6, 809-818. MR **83k**:30050
13. Simonova, S.: A representation theorem for functions harmonic off a hyperplane. *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.* **34** (1993) (Russian).
14. Stein, E.M.: *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*. Princeton University Press, Princeton 1970.
15. Tarkhanov, N.N.: The structure of solutions of elliptic systems with a compact set of singularities. *Izv. VUZ. Mat.* **1989** no. 12, 47-56 (Russian). MR **91e**:35090
16. Tarkhanov, N.N.: *Laurent series for solutions of elliptic systems*. Nauka, Novosibirsk 1991 (Russian). MR **94e**:35013
17. Varfolomeev, A.L.: Analytic continuation from a continuum onto its neighborhood; in: *Zap. Nauchni. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Stekl. (LOMI)* **113** (1981), 27-40 (Russian). MR **83e**:30003

MAX-PLANCK-ARBEITSGRUPPE, "PARTIELLE DIFFERENTIALGLEICHUNGEN UND KOMPLEXE ANALYSIS", UNIVERSITÄT POTSDAM, AM NEUEN PALAIS 10, D - 14415 POTSDAM, GERMANY

*E-mail address:* christoph@mpg-ana.uni-potsdam.de

*E-mail address:* tarkhan@mpg-ana.uni-potsdam.de